



## On Generalized Köthe-Toeplitz Duals and Pre-Duals

A. A. Ansari and V. K. Chaudhry\*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

D.D.U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur-273009, INDIA

e-mail : aaansari@in.com

\*e-mail : vkc\_ddumath@yahoo.co.in

### Abstract

In this paper we generalized the notion of Köthe-Toeplitz duals and pre-duals of generalized sequence spaces on introducing the concept of  $\eta$ -dual of generalized sequence spaces.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 46A32, 46B45.

**Keywords :** Sequence of linear operators; Generalized dual spaces; Generalized  $l_r$ -spaces.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper  $s(X)$ ,  $c(X)$ ,  $c_0(X)$ ,  $l_1(X)$ ,  $l_p(X)$ ,  $l_\infty(X)$  denote the space of all, convergent, null, absolutely summable,  $p$ -absolutely summable, bounded  $X$ -valued sequences respectively where  $X$  is a Banach space. In a similar way, replacing  $X$  by  $B(X, Y)$  in the above where in  $B(X, Y)$  denote the Banach space of bounded linear operators from the Banach space  $X$  to the Banach space  $Y$ , we can obtain the corresponding space of operators like  $s(B(X, Y))$ ,  $c(B(X, Y))$ ,  $c_0(B(X, Y))$  etc. [7].

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces over the field  $\mathcal{C}$  of complex numbers and  $B(X, Y)$  denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  with respect to supremum norm of operators i.e. if  $T \in B(X, Y)$  the operator

norm of  $T$  is

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T_x\| : x \in U\}$$

where  $U = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is the closed unit sphere in  $X$ .  $X^*$  will denote the continuous dual of  $X$ . The zero element of  $X, Y, B(X, Y)$  and  $X^*$  will be denoted by 0 and the meaning will be clear by the context.

The Köthe-Toeplitz duals, the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -duals, for the set  $E(X)$  of  $X$ -valued sequences have been defined as

**Definition (1.1).** ([5]). Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be a Banach spaces and  $\bar{A} = (A_k)$  a sequence of linear but not necessarily bounded operators  $A_k$  on  $X$  into  $Y$ . Suppose  $E(X)$  is a non-empty subset of  $s(X)$ , then  $\alpha$ -dual of  $E(X)$  is defined by

$$E^\alpha(X) = \{\bar{A} = (A_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\| \text{ converges for all } \bar{x} = (x_k) \in E(X)\},$$

and  $\beta$ -dual of  $E(X)$  is defined as

$$E^\beta(X) = \{\bar{A} = (A_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k x_k \text{ converges for all } \bar{x} = (x_k) \in E(X)\},$$

where the convergence in  $E^\beta(X)$  is with respect to norm of  $Y$ .

Robinson [1] considered the action of infinite matrices of linear operator from one Banach space into another on sequences of elements of the former Banach space. The  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -duals for various vector valued sequence spaces have been obtained in terms of sequence of operators ([5]).

Srivastava and Ansari [7] have introduced the concept of Köthe-Toeplitz pre- $\alpha$  dual and pre- $\beta$  dual for the set  $E(B(X, Y))$  of  $B(X, Y)$ -valued sequences which are defined as follows.

**Definition (1.2).** ([7]). Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be a Banach spaces and  $E(B(X, Y))$  be a non-empty subset of  $s(B(X, Y))$ , then pre- $\alpha$  and pre- $\beta$  dual are respectively

defined as

$$E^\alpha(B(X, Y)) = \{\bar{x} = (x_k) : x_k \in X, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\| \text{ converges for all } \bar{A} = (A_k) \in E(B(X, Y))\},$$

and

$$E^\beta(B(X, Y)) = \{\bar{x} = (x_k) : x_k \in X, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k x_k \text{ converges in } Y \text{ for all } \bar{A} = (A_k) \in E(B(X, Y))\}.$$

The pre- $\alpha$  and pre- $\beta$  duals of various set of  $B(X, Y)$ -valued sequences have been obtained in Srivastava and Ansari [6], [7].

We first define  $\eta$ -dual of  $X$ -valued and pre- $\eta$  dual of  $B(X, Y)$ - valued sequences.

**Definition (1.3).** Let  $E(X)$  be a non-empty subset of  $s(X)$ . Let  $E(B(X, Y))$  be a non-empty subset of  $s(B(X, Y))$  and let  $r > (\text{equal}) 1$ . Then  $\eta$ -dual of  $E(X)$  and pre- $\eta$  dual of  $E(B(X, Y))$  is defined respectively as

$$E^\eta(X) = \{\bar{A} = (A_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\|^r \text{ converges for all } \bar{x} = (x_k) \in E(X)\},$$

and

$$E^\eta(B(X, Y)) = \{\bar{x} = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\|^r \text{ converges for all } \bar{A} = (A_k) \in E(B(X, Y))\}.$$

Taking  $r = 1$  in the above definition we get the  $\alpha$ -dual of  $E(X)$  and pre- $\alpha$  dual of  $E(B(X, Y))$ .

We now state some known results in the form of Lemmas.

**Lemma (1.4).** (i)  $E(X) \subset F(X)$  implies  $E^\eta(X) \supset F^\eta(X)$  for every  $E(X)$ ,  $F(X) \subset S(X)$ .

(ii)  $E(B(X, Y)) \subset F(B(X, Y))$  implies  $E^\eta(B(X, Y)) \supset F^\eta(B(X, Y))$  for every  $E(B(X, Y))$ ,  $F(B(X, Y)) \subset s(B(X, Y))$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $(A_k) \in F^\eta(X)$  and let  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \in E(X)$ . Since  $E(X) \subset F(X)$ , therefore  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \in F(X)$ , so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\|^r < \infty \Rightarrow (A_k) \in E^\eta(X).$$

(ii) Let  $(x_k) \in F^\eta(B(X, Y))$  and let

$$\bar{A} = (A_k) \in E(B(X, Y)).$$

Since  $E(B(X, Y)) \subset F(B(X, Y))$ , therefore

$$\bar{A} = (A_k) \in F(B(X, Y))$$

So,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\|^r < \infty \Rightarrow \bar{x} = (x_k) \in E^\eta(B(X, Y)).$$

**Lemma (1.5).** (i)  $c_0^\alpha(X) = c^\alpha(X) = l_\infty^\alpha(X) = l_1(B(X, Y))$ ,

(ii)  $c_0^\alpha(B(X, Y)) = c^\alpha(B(X, Y)) = l_\infty^\alpha(B(X, Y)) = l_1(X)$ .

## 2. Main Results

In this section we find the  $\eta$ -dual of some  $X$ -valued and pre- $\eta$  dual of  $B(X, Y)$ -valued sequence spaces.

**Proposition (2.1).**  $l_r^\eta(X) \subset l_\infty(B(X, Y))$ .

**Proof.** The proof is by the method of contradiction. Suppose if possible that  $(A_k) \in l_r^n(X)$  but  $A_k \notin l_\infty(B(X, Y))$ , i.e.

$$\sup_k \|A_k\| = \infty.$$

Then there exist a strictly increasing sequence of integer  $(k(i))$  such that

$$\|A_{k(i)}\| > i^s, \text{ for some fixed } s > 1,$$

and hence we can find a sequence  $(x_i)$  in  $U$  (the closed unit sphere in  $X$ ) such that

$$\|A_{k(i)}x_i\| > i^s. \tag{1}$$

Now, we define a sequence  $(z_k)$  by

$$z_k = \begin{cases} \frac{x_i}{i^s}, & \text{if } k = k(i) \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq k(i) \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_k\| &= \left\| \frac{x_i}{i^s} \right\| = \frac{\|x_i\|}{i^s} \quad \text{for } k = k(i) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{i^s}, \quad (\text{as } x_i \in U), \quad k = k(i), \end{aligned}$$

and zero elsewhere. Therefore  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|z_k\|^r < \infty$ , i.e.  $z_k \in l_r(X)$ . But  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k z_k\|^r$  diverges as

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{k(i)}z_{k(i)}\| &= \frac{1}{i^s} \|A_{k(i)}x_i\| && \text{(from 2)} \\ &> 1 && \text{(from 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts that  $(A_k) \in l_r^n(X)$ . Therefore  $\sup_k \|A_k\| < \infty$  and  $(A_k) \in l_\infty(B(X, Y))$ . Therefore the assertion in the proposition is proved.

**Proposition (2.2).**  $l_\infty(B(X, Y)) \subset l_r^n(X)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(A_k) \in l_\infty(B(X, Y))$ , therefore there exist a positive real number  $M$  such that

$$\sup_k \|A_k\| \leq M.$$

Now, if  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \in l_r(X)$ , then the assertion in the proposition follows from the following

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \|A_k x_k\|^r &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|A_k\|^r \|x_k\|^r \\ &\leq \sup_{1 \leq k < \infty} \|A_k\|^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^r \\ &\leq M^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^r < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On taking limit when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\|^r < \infty.$$

By proposition 2.1 and 2.2 we get the following theorem.

**Theorem (2.3).**  $l_r^\eta(X) = l_\infty(B(X, Y))$ .

**Proposition (2.4).**  $c_0^\eta(X) \subset l_r(B(X, Y))$

**Proof.** The proof is by the method of contradiction. Suppose if possible that  $(A_k) \in c_0^\eta(X)$  but  $(A_k) \notin l_r(B(X, Y))$ , i.e.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k\|^r = \infty.$$

Then there exist a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_i)$  and a sequence  $(z_k)$  in  $U$  such that  $2\|A_k z_k\| > \|A_k\|$  and

$$\sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \|A_k\|^r > (2i)^r, \quad \text{for } i \in N.$$

Now, we define a sequence  $\bar{x} = (x_k)$  as

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \frac{z_k}{i}, & \text{for } n_i < k \leq n_{i+1} \\ 0, & \text{for } k \leq n_1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $x \in c_0(X)$  and

$$\sum_{n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \|A_k x_k\|^r = \sum_{n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \frac{\|A_k\|^r}{(2i)^r} > 1,$$

which shows that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\|^r$  is divergent. This contradicts the fact that  $(A_k) \in c_0^{\eta}(X)$ . Therefore the assertion in the proposition is proved.

**Proposition (2.5).**  $l_r(B(X, Y)) \subset l_{\infty}^{\eta}(X)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(A_k) \in l_r(B(X, Y))$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k\|^r < \infty.$$

Now, if  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \in l_{\infty}(X)$ , then the assertion in the proposition follows from the following

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \|A_k x_k\|^r &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|A_k\|^r \|x_k\|^r \\ &\leq \sup_{1 \leq k < \infty} \|x_k\|^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k\|^r \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k\|^r < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On taking limit when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\|^r < \infty.$$

**Remark 1.** We note that, since

$$c_0(X) \subset c(X) \subset l_\infty(X),$$

Therefore by lemma 1.4, we have

$$l_\infty^\eta(X) \subset c^\eta(X) \subset c_0(X).$$

When the inclusion relations are combined with proposition 2.4 and 2.5, we get the following theorem :

**Theorem (2.6).**  $c_0^\eta(X) = c^\eta(X) = l_\infty^\eta(X) = l_r(B(X, Y)).$

**Proposition (2.7).**  $l_r^\eta(B(X, Y)) \subset l_\infty(X).$

**Proof.** Suppose if possible that  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \in l_r^\eta(B(X, Y))$  but  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \notin l_\infty(X)$ , i.e.

$$\sup_k \|x_k\| = \infty.$$

Then there exist a subsequence of integers  $(k(i))$  such that

$$\|x_{k(i)}\| > i^{2/r}. \tag{3}$$

By Hahn-Banach theorem, each  $x_k$  determine an  $f_k \in X^*$  with  $\|f_k\| < 1$ , such that

$$f_k(x_k) = \|x_k\|.$$

Now we define for,  $x \in X$ ,

$$A_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i^{2/r}} f_k(x)y, & k = k(i) \\ 0, & k \neq k(i) \end{cases}$$

where  $y \in Y$  with  $\|y\| = 1$ , then

$$\|A_{k(i)}\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \frac{1}{i^{2/r}} |f_k(x)| \|y\|$$



$$= \frac{\|f_k\|}{i^{2/r}} \leq \frac{1}{i^{2/r}}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k\|^r = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|A_{k(i)}\|^r < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} < \infty$$

which shows that  $\bar{A} = (A_k) \in l_r(B(X, Y))$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{k(i)}x_{k(i)}\|^r &= \frac{1}{i^2} |f_{k(i)}(x_{k(i)})|^r \|y\|^r \\ &= \frac{\|x_{k(i)}\|^r}{i^2} > 1. \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\|^r$  is divergent. This contradicts that fact that  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \in l_r^\eta(B(X, Y))$ . Therefore the assertion in the proposition is proved.

**Proposition (2.8).**  $l_\infty(X) \subset l_r^\eta(B(X, Y))$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \in l_\infty(X)$ . Therefore there exist a positive real number  $M$  such that

$$\sup_k \|x_k\| < M.$$

Now, if  $\bar{A} = (A_k) \in l_r(B(X, Y))$ , then the assertion in the proposition follows from the following. For any positive integer  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \|A_k x_k\|^r &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|A_k\|^r \|x_k\|^r \\ &\leq \sup_{1 \leq k < \infty} \|x_k\|^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k\|^r \\ &\leq M^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k\|^r < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\|^r < \infty.$$

By proposition 2.7 and 2.8, we get the following theorem :

**Theorem (2.9).**  $l_r^n(B(X, Y)) = l_\infty(X)$ .

**Proposition (2.10).**  $c_0^n(B(X, Y)) \subset l_r(X)$ .

**Proof.** Let if possible  $\bar{x} = x_k \in c_0^n(B(X, Y))$  but  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \notin l_r(X)$  i.e.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^r = \infty.$$

Then for the sequence of integer (i), there exist a sequence of integers  $(n_i)$  such that

$$\sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \|x_k\|^r > i^r.$$

By the Hahn Banach theorem, for each  $x_k \in X$ , there exist  $f_k \in X^*$  such that

$$\|x_k\| = f_k(x_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_k\| \leq 1.$$

Now we define a sequence of operators  $\bar{A} = (A_k)$  as, for  $x \in X$ ,

$$A_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i} f_k(x)y, & \text{for } n_i < k \leq n_{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{for } k \leq n_1 \end{cases}$$

where  $y \in X$ , and  $\|y\| = 1$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_k\| &= \sup \|A_k(x)\|, \quad \|x_k\| \leq 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \|f_k(x)y\|, \quad n_i < k \leq n_{i+1}, \quad \|x_k\| \leq 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \|f_k\| < \frac{1}{i}, \quad n_i < k \leq n_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|A_k\| = 0$ , elsewhere.

Therefore  $A_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  i.e.  $A_k \in c_0(B(X, Y))$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \|A_k x_k\|^r &= \frac{1}{i^r} \sum_{n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \|f_k(x_k)y\|^r \\ &= \frac{1}{i^r} \sum_{n_{i+2}}^{n_{i+1}} \|x_k\|^r > 1 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\|^r$  is divergent. This contradicts the fact that  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \in c_0^\eta(B(X, Y))$ . Therefore the assertion in the proposition is proved.

**Proposition (2.11).**  $l_r(X) \subset l_\infty^\eta(B(X, Y))$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\bar{x} = (x_k) \in l_r(X)$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^r < \infty.$$

Now if  $\bar{A} = (A_k) \in l_\infty(B(X, Y))$ , then the assertion in the proposition follows from following. For any positive integer  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \|A_k x_k\|^r &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k\|^r \|x_k\|^r \\ &\leq \sup_{1 \leq k < \infty} \|A_k\|^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^r \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^r < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.** We note that, since

$$c_0(B(X, Y)) \subset c(B(X, Y)) \subset l_\infty(B(X, Y)),$$

therefore by lemma 1.4, we have

$$l_\infty^\eta(B(X, Y)) \subset c^\eta(B(X, Y)) \subset c_0^\eta(B(X, Y)).$$

When the inclusion relation are combined with proposition 2.10 and 2.11, we get following theorem :

**Theorem (2.12).**  $c_0^n(B(X, Y)) = c^n(B(X, Y)) = l_\infty^n(B(X, Y)) = l_r(X)$ .

### References

- [1] A. Robinson : *On functional transformations and summability*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **52** (1950), 132-160.
- [2] A. Wilansky : *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North Holland, 1984.
- [3] G. Köthe : *Toeplitz Vector Spaces I (English)*, Springer-Verlag, 1969.
- [4] I. J. Maddox : *Generalized Köthe-Toeplitz duals*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., **3(3)** (1980), 423-432.
- [5] I. J. Maddox : *Infinite Matrices of Operators*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, **786**, Springer-Verlag (1980).
- [6] J. K. Srivastava and A. A. Ansari : *Köthe-Toeplitz duals and pre-duals of generalized Iyer's sequence spaces*, J. Indian Math. Soc., **49** (1985), 209-218.
- [7] J. K. Srivastava and A. A. Ansari : *Generalized Köthe-Toeplitz pre-duals of certain sequence spaces*, J. Nat. Acad. Math. (India), **4** (1986), 100-106.
- [8] P. Chandra and B. C. Tripathy : *On generalized Köthe-Toeplitz duals of some sequence spaces*, Indian J. pure appl. Math., **33(8)** (2002), 1301-1306.
- [9] R. G. Cooke : *Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces*, Dover Publ., 1955.